AD-A256 158





Research and Development Technical Report SLCET-TR-91-33 (Rev. 1)

Ultraviolet-Ozone Cleaning of Semiconductor Surfaces

John R. Vig Army Research Laboratory

October 1992

6.91



92-27047

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY Electronics and Power Sources Directorate Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5601, U.S.A.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of info gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions (Davs Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-	rmation is estimated to average 1 hour per completing and reviewing the collection of il or reducing this burden, to Washington Hea 302, and to the Office of Management and	esponse, including the time for reviewi iformation. Send comments regarding squarters services. Directorate for infor ludget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0)	ng instructions, searching existing data sources, his burden estimate or any other expect of this mation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 04-0188), Weshington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bland		3. REPORT TYPE AND DA Technical Report	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			FUNDING NUMBERS
Ultraviolet-Ozone Cleaning o	f Semiconductor Surfaces		PE: 1L1 PR: 62705
S. AUTHOR(S)			TA: AH94
John R. Vig			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Army Research Laboratory Electronics and Power Source ATTN: SLCET-EF			SLCET-TR-91-33 (Rev. 1)
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	SPONSORING / MONITORING
			AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This report is a preprint of a published in the <u>Handbook o</u> be published in 1993).	chapter entitled "Ultraviolet-C f Semiconductor Wafer Clea	Dzone Cleaning of Semico ning Technology, W. Kerr	onductor Surfaces" that is to be a, editor, Noyes Publications (to
Approved for public release;		121	D. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word)		
removing a variety of contam which is inexpensive to set u ambient temperatures. In co- meet the requirements for the devices. Placing properly p produce clean surfaces in le evidenced by Auger electron of the process, the types of su- the construction of an UV/oz	inants from silicon (as well a p and operate. It can rapidly ombination with a dry method all-dry cleaning methods that recleaned surfaces within a ss than one minute. The te spectroscopy, ESCA, and IS urfaces which have been clea- tone cleaning facility, the me	s many other) surfaces. I produce clean surfaces, for removing inorganic of will be necessary for future few millimeters of an oz chnique can produce nea S/SIMS studies. Topics and successfully, the con echanism of the process,	port, is an effective method o t is a simple-to-use dry process in air or in a vacuum system, a contamination, the method may re generations of semiconductor cone-producing UV source car ir-atomically clean surfaces, as discussed include the variables taminants that can be removed UV/ozone cleaning in vacuum one other than cleaning, and
			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. SUBJECT TERMS Closping	surface cleaning, ultraviolet I	ight LIV ozone	
	e contamination organic con		47
contamination control, surfac equipment, contamination, sil	e contamination, organic con icon, gallium arsenide, GaAs	tamination, ultraviolet	

	T,	A	B	L	Ε	0	F	С	0	N	T	Έ	N	T	S	
--	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

ABS	TRAC	T	1
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.0	HIS	TORY OF UV/OZONE CLEANING	1
	3.1 3.2 3.3	RIABLES OF UV/OZONE CLEANING Wavelengths Emitted by the UV Sources Distance Between the Sample and UV Source Contaminants Precleaning Substrate Rate Enhancement Techniques	5 5 8 9 10 12
4.0	ME	CHANISM OF UV/OZONE CLEANING	13
5.0	UV	OZONE CLEANING IN VACUUM SYSTEMS	15
6.0	SAI	FETY CONSIDERATIONS	16
7.0	со	NSTRUCTION OF A UV/OZONE CLEANING FACILITY	17
	API 8.1 8.2 8.3	PLICATIONS Cleaning of Silicon Surfaces Cleaning of Other Semiconductor Surfaces Other Applications	19 19 21 23
	9.1	ECTS OTHER THAN CLEANING Oxidation UV-enhanced Outgassing Other Surface/Interface Effects Etching	25 25 26 26 26
10.0	SUI	MMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	27

REFERENCES AND NOTES



29

DING OF MALE AND

•--

iii

FIGURES

Fig	<u>ure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Apparatus for UV/ozone cleaning experiments.	2
2.	Auger spectra of evaporated aluminum film on silicon substrate: (a) before UV/ozone cleaning; (b) after UV/ozone cleaning.	4
3.	Absorption spectrum of oxygen.	7
4.	Absorption spectrum of ozone.	7
5.	Schematic drawing of a UV/ozone cleaner that uses a silent- discharge ozone generator.	12
6.	Photoresist stripping rate vs. substrate temperature for three types of photoresists.	13
7.	Simplified schematic representation of UV/ozone cleaning process.	14
8.	Effect of UV/ozone cleaning on gold-to-gold thermocompression bonding.	24

TABLES

.

Ta	ble	<u>Page</u>
1.	Exposure types vs. cleaning times.	5
2.	Principal wavelengths of low-pressure Hg discharge lamps.	6
3.	Effects of the principal wavelengths generated by low-pressure Hg discharge lamps.	6
4.	UV/ozone exposure vs. oxide thickness on aluminum.	25

ABSTRACT

The ultraviolet (UV)/ozone surface-cleaning method, which is reviewed in this report. is an effective method of removing a variety of contaminants from semiconductors (as well as many other) surfaces. It is a simple-to-use dry process that is inexpensive to set up and operate. It can rapidly produce clean surfaces, in air or in a vacuum system, at In combination with a dry method for removing inorganic ambient temperatures. contamination, the method may meet the requirements for the all-dry cleaning methods that will be necessary for future generations of semiconductor devices. Placing properly precleaned surfaces within a few millimeters of an ozone-producing UV source can produce clean surfaces in less than one minute. The technique can produce close to atomically clean surfaces, as evidenced by Auger electron spectroscopy, ESCA, and ISS/SIMS studies. Topics discussed include the variables of the process, the types of surfaces which have been cleaned successfully, the contaminants that can be removed. the construction of an UV/ozone cleaning facility, the mechanism of the process, UV/ozone cleaning in vacuum systems, rate-enhancement techniques, safety considerations, effects of UV/ozone other than cleaning, and applications.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The capability of ultraviolet (UV) light to decompose organic molecules has been known for a long time, but it is only since the mid-1970s that UV cleaning of surfaces has been explored (1-6). Since 1976, use of the UV/ozone cleaning method has grown steadily. UV/ozone cleaners are now available commercially from several manufacturers.

2.0 HISTORY OF UV/OZONE CLEANING

That ultraviolet light causes chemical changes has been generally known for a long time. Commonly known manifestations are the fading of fabric colors and changes in human skin pigmentation (*i.e.*, sun tanning) upon exposure to sunlight. The chemical changes produced by short wavelength UV light inside the cells of living organisms can damage or destroy the cells. An important use of UV lamps has been as "germicidal" lamps, *e.g.*, for destroying microorganisms in hospital operating rooms and in the air ducts of air conditioning systems (7).

In 1972, Bolon and Kunz (1) reported that UV light had the capability to depolymerize a variety of photoresist polymers. The polymer films were enclosed in a quartz tube that was evacuated and then backfilled with oxygen. The samples were irradiated with UV light from a medium-pressure mercury lamp that generated ozone. The polymer films of several thousand angstroms thickness were successfully depolymerized in less than one hour. The major products of depolymerization were found to be water and carbon dioxide. Subsequent to depolymerization, the substrates were examined by Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) and were found to be free of carbonaceous residues. Only inorganic residues, such as tin and chlorine, were found. When a Pyrex filter was placed between the UV light and the films, or when a nitrogen atmosphere was used instead of oxygen, the depolymerization was hindered. Thus, Bolon and Kunz recognized that oxygen and wavelengths shorter than 300-nm played a role in the depolymerization.

In 1974, Sowell *et al.* (2) described UV cleaning of adsorbed hydrocarbons from glass and gold surfaces, in air and in a vacuum system. A clean glass surface was obtained after 15 hours of exposure to the UV radiation in air. In a vacuum system at 10^4 torr of oxygen, clean gold surfaces were produced after about two hours of UV exposure. During cleaning, the partial pressure of O₂ decreased, while that of CO₂ and H₂O increased. The UV also desorbed gases from the vacuum chamber walls. In air, gold surfaces which had been contaminated by adsorbed hydrocarbons could be cleaned by "several hours of exposure to the UV radiation." Sowell *et al.* also noted that storing clean surfaces under UV radiation maintained the surface cleanliness indefinitely.

During the period 1974-1976, Vig *et al.* (3-5) described a series of experiments aimed at determining the optimum conditions for producing clean surfaces by UV irradiation. The variables of cleaning by UV light were defined, and it was shown that, under the proper conditions, UV/ozone cleaning has the capability of producing clean surfaces in less than one minute.

To study the variables of the UV cleaning procedure, Vig and LeBus (5) constructed the two UV cleaning boxes shown in Figure 1. Both were made of aluminum and both contained low-pressure mercury discharge lamps and an aluminum stand with Alzak (8) reflectors. The two lamps produced nearly equal intensities of short-wavelength UV light, about 1.6 mW/cm² for a sample 1 cm from the tube. Both boxes contained room air (in a clean room) throughout these experiments. The boxes were completely enclosed to reduce recontaminations by air circulation.



Figure 1. Apparatus for UV/ozone cleaning experiments.

The tube of the UV lamp (9) in box 1 consisted of 91 cm of "hairpin-bent" fused quartz tubing. The fused quartz transmits both the 253.7-nm and the 184.9-nm wavelengths. The lamp emitted about 0.1 mW/cm² of 184.8-nm radiation measured at 1 cm from the tube. The lamp in box 2 had two straight and parallel 46 cm long high-silica glass tubes made of Corning UV Glass No. 9823, which transmits at 253.7-nm but not at 184.9-nm. Since this lamp generated no measurable ozone, a separate Siemens-type ozone generator (9) was built into box 2. This ozone generator did not emit UV light. Ozone was produced by a "silent"discharge when high-voltage AC was applied across a discharge gap formed by two concentric glass tubes, each of which was wrapped in aluminum foil electrodes. The ozone-generating tubes were parallel to the UV tubes, and were spaced approximately 6 cm apart. UV box 1 was used to expose samples, simultaneously, to the 253.7-nm and 184.9-nm wavelengths and to the ozone generated by the 184.9-nm wavelength. UV box 2 permitted the options of exposing samples to 253.7-nm plus ozone, 253.7-nm only, or ozone only.

Contact angle measurements, wettability tests, and Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) were used to evaluate the results of cleaning experiments. Most of the experiments were conducted on polished quartz wafers, the cleanliness of which could be evaluated by the "steam test," a highly sensitive wettability test (5,11,12). Contact angle measurements and the steam test can detect fractional monolayers of hydrophobic surface contamination.

Also tested was a "black-light," long-wavelength UV source that emitted wavelengths above 300-nm only. This UV source produced no noticeable cleaning, even after 24 hours of irradiation.

In the studies of Vig *et al.*, it was found that samples could be cleaned consistently by UV/ozone only if gross contamination was first removed from the surfaces. The cleanliness of such UV/ozone-cleaned surfaces has been verified on numerous occasions, in the author's laboratory and elsewhere, by AES and electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA) (1,3,4,13-15). Figure 2 shows Auger spectra before and after UV/ozone cleaning (15). Ten minutes of UV/ozone cleaning reduced the surface contamination on an aluminum thin film to below the AES detectability level, about one percent of a monolayer. The effectiveness of UV/ozone cleaning has also been confirmed by ion scattering spectroscopy/secondary ion mass spectroscopy (ESS/SIMS) (16).

A number of wafers of AT-cut quartz crystal were precleaned and exposed to the UV light in box 1 until clean surfaces were obtained. Each of the wafers was then thoroughly contaminated with human skin oil, which has been a difficult contaminant to remove. (The skin oil was applied by rubbing a clean wafer on the forehead of one of the researchers.) The wafers were precleaned again, groups of wafers were exposed to each of the four UV/ozone combinations mentioned earlier, and the time needed to attain a clean surface was measured, as indicated by the steam test. In each UV box, the



Figure 2. Auger spectra of evaporated aluminum film on silicon substrate: (a) before UV/ozone cleaning (b) after UV/ozone cleaning.

samples were placed within 5 mm of the UV source (where the temperature was about 70°C).

The wafers exposed to 253.7-nm + 184.9-nm + ozone in UV box 1 became clean in 20 seconds. The samples exposed to 253.7-nm + ozone in UV box 2 reached the clean condition in 90 seconds. Samples exposed to 253.7-nm without ozone and to ozone

without UV light were cleaned within one hour and ten hours, respectively. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Exposure type	Time to reach clean condition
"Black light" (> 300 nm)	No cleaning
O₃, no UV	10 h
253.7 nm, no O ₃	1 h
253.7 nm + O ₃	90 s
253.7 nm + 184.9 nm + O ₃	20 s

Table 1. Exposure types vs. cleaning times.

Although the 184.9-nm radiation is also absorbed by many organic molecules, it was not possible from these experiments to isolate the cleaning effect of the 184.9-nm radiation. The ozone concentrations had not been measured. As is discussed below, within each box the ozone concentrations vary with distance from the UV source. The UV/ozone cleaning method is now used in a variety of applications, in electronics, optics, and other fields.

3.0 VARIABLES OF UV/OZONE CLEANING

3.1 Wavelengths Emitted by the UV Sources

Since only the light that is absorbed can be effective in producing photochemical changes, the wavelengths emitted by the UV sources are important variables. The low-pressure mercury discharge tubes generate two wavelengths of interest: 184.9-nm and 253.7-nm. Whether or not these wavelengths are emitted depends upon the lamp envelopes. The emissions through the three main types of envelopes are summarized in Table 2. Pure quartz is highly transparent to both wavelengths.

The 184.9-nm wavelength is important because it is absorbed by oxygen, thus leading to the generation of ozone (17), and it is also absorbed by many organic molecules. The 253.7-nm radiation is not absorbed by oxygen, therefore, it does not contribute to ozone generation, but is absorbed by most organic molecules (18,19) and by ozone (17). The absorption by ozone is principally responsible for the destruction of ozone in the UV box. Therefore, when both wavelengths are present, ozone is continually being formed and destroyed. An intermediate product, both of the formation and of the destruction processes, is atomic oxygen, which is a very strong oxidizing agent. The

Table 2. Principal wavelengths of low-pressure Hg discharge lamps.

	Lamp envelope ^a					
Wavelength (nm)	Fused quartz	High-silica glass	Glass			
184.9	Т	0	0			
253.7	Т	Т	0			
300.0	Т	Т	Т			

^a T = transparent, O = opaque.

absorption of either or both wavelengths by the organic and other contaminant molecules results in the dissociation or excitation of those molecules. The reaction of the atomic oxygen with excited or dissociated contaminant molecules is believed to be responsible for the cleaning action of UV/ozone, as is discussed below.

The absorption spectrum of oxygen is shown in Figure 3 and that of ozone in Figure 4. The effects of the principal wavelengths generated by low-pressure mercury discharge lamps are summarized in Table 3.

In the studies of Vig *et al.*, wafers exposed to 253.7-nm + 184.9-nm + ozone became clean much faster than the samples exposed to 253.7-nm + ozone only, or to 253.7-nm without ozone, or to ozone without UV light, as is summarized in Table 1. Therefore, although both UV light without ozone and ozone without UV light can produce a slow cleaning effect in air, the combination of short-wavelength UV light and ozone, such as is obtained from a quartz UV lamp, produces a clean surface orders of magnitude faster.

Table 3.	Effects of	i the	principal	wavelengths	generated	by	low-pressure	Hg
discharge	lamps.			_		-	-	-

Wavelength (nm)	Effects
184.9	 Absorbed by O₂ and organic molecules Creates atomic oxygen and ozone Breaks contaminant molecule bonds
253.7	 Absorbed by organic molecules and O₃; not absorbed by O₂ Destroys ozone Breaks contaminant molecule bonds



Figure 3. Absorption spectrum of oxygen.



Figure 4. Absorption spectrum of ozone.

3.2 Distance Between the Sample and UV Source

Another variable that can greatly affect the cleaning rate is the distance between the sample and the UV source. In Vig *et al.*'s experiment, the UV lamps were essentially plane sources. Therefore, one may conclude that the intensity of UV light reaching a sample would be nearly independent of distance. However, this is not so when ozone is present, because ozone has a broad absorption band (17,20,21) centered at about 260-nm, as is shown in Figure 4. At 253.7-nm, the absorption coefficient is 130/cm · atm. The intensity I of the 253.7-nm radiation reaching a sample therefore decreases as $I = I_0 e^{-130\rho d}$.

where p is the average ozone pressure between the sample and the UV source in atmospheres at 0°C, and d is the distance to the sample in centimeters. When a quartz UV tube is used, both the ozone concentration and the UV radiation intensity decrease with distance from the UV source.

Two sets of identically precleaned samples were placed in UV box 2. One set was placed within 5 mm of the UV tube, the other was placed at the bottom of the box about 8 cm from the tube. With the ozone generator off, there was less than a 30-percent difference in the time it took for the two sets of samples to attain a minimal (approximately 4°) contact angle, about 60 min *versus* 75 min. When the experiment was repeated with the ozone generator on, the samples near the tube became clean nearly ten times faster (about 90 s *versus* 13 min). Similarly, in UV box 1, samples placed within 5 mm of an ozone-producing UV tube were cleaned in 20 s *versus* 20-30 min for samples placed near the bottom of the box at a distance of 13 cm. Therefore, to maximize the cleaning rate, the samples should be placed as close as practicable to the UV source.

3.3 Contaminants

Vig *et al.* tested the effectiveness of the UV/ozone cleaning procedure for a variety of contaminants. Among the contaminants were:

- (1) human skin oils (wiped from the forehead of one of the researchers)
- (2) contamination adsorbed during prolonged exposure to laboratory air
- (3) cutting oil (22)
- (4) beeswax and rosin mixture
- (5) lapping vehicle (23)
- (6) mechanical vacuum pump oil (24)
- (7) DC 704 silicone diffusion pump oil (25)
- (8) DC 705 silicone diffusion pump oil (25)
- (9) silicone vacuum grease (25)
- (10) acid (solder) flux (26)

- (11) rosin flux from a rosin core lead-tin solder
- (12) residues from cleaning solvents, including acetone, ethanoi, methanol, isopropyl alcohol, trichloroethane, and trichlorotrifluoroethane.

After contamination the wafers were precleaned, then exposed to UV/ozone by placement within a few millimeters of the tube in UV box 1. After a 60 s exposure, the steam test and AES indicated that all traces of the contaminants had been removed.

Ion-implanted silicon wafers, each with approximately a 1-micron coating of exposed Kodak Micro Resist 747 (27), were placed within a few millimeters of the source in UV box 1. After an overnight (10 h) exposure to UV/ozone, all traces of the photoresist had been removed from the wafers, as confirmed by AES.

Films of carbon, vacuum-deposited onto quartz to make its surface conductive for study in an electron microscope, were also successfully removed by exposure to UV/ozone. Inorganic contaminants, such as dust particles, metals, and salts, cannot be removed by UV/ozone and should be eliminated in the precleaning procedure.

UV/ozone has also been used for waste-water treatment and for destruction of highly toxic compounds (28-31). Experimental work in connection with these applications has shown that UV/ozone can convert a wide variety of organic and some inorganic species to relatively harmless, mostly volatile products such as CO₂, CO, H₂O, and N₂. Compounds which have been destroyed successfully in water by UV/ozone include: ethanol, acetic acid, glycine, glycerol, palmitic acid; organic nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur compounds; potassium cyanide; complexed Cd, Cu, Fe, and Ni cyanides; photographic wastes, medical wastes, secondary effluents; chlorinated organics and pesticides such as pentachlorophenol, dichlorobenzene, dichlorbutane, chloroform, malathion, Baygon, Vapam, and DDT. It has also been shown (32) that using the combination of UV and ozone is more effective than using either one alone in destroying microbial contaminants (E. coli and streptococcus faecalis) in water. UV/ozone has been used for the breakdown of PCBs (33). A combination of UV, ozone and hydrogen peroxide is used in a commercial water treatment method (34). The UV breaks the hydrogen peroxide into atomic oxygen and hydroxyl radicals. The hydroxyls assist with the breakdown of contaminant molecules. For example, benzene can be converted into carbon dioxide and water with this method.

Ozone dissolved in fluorocarbon solvents plus UV has been used in a chemical warfare agent decontamination system (35). The combined effect of ozone plus UV was found to be superior to either UV or ozone alone.

3.4 Precleaning

Contaminants, such as thick photoresist coatings and pure carbon films, can be removed with UV/ozone without any precleaning, but, in general, gross contamination

cannot be removed without precleaning. For example, when a clean wafer of crystal quartz was coated thoroughly with human skin oils and placed in UV box 1 (Figure 1) without any precleaning, even prolonged exposure to UV/ozone failed to produce a low-contact-angle surface, because human skin oils contain materials, such as inorganic salts, which cannot be removed by photosensitized oxidation.

The UV/ozone removed silicones from surfaces which had been precleaned, as described earlier, and also from surfaces which had simply been wiped with a cloth to leave a thin film. However, when the removal of a thick film was attempted, the UV/ozone removed most of the film upon prolonged exposure but it also left a hard, cracked residue on the surface, possibly because many chemicals respond to radiation in various ways, depending upon whether or not oxygen is present. For instance, in the presence of oxygen, many polymers degrade when irradiated; whereas, in the absence of oxygen (as would be the case for the bulk of a thick film) these same polymers crosslink. In the study of the radiation degradation of polymers in air, the "results obtained with thin films are often markedly different from those obtained using thick specimen..." (36).

For the UV/ozone cleaning procedure to perform reliably, the surfaces must be precleaned: first, to remove contaminants such as particles, metals, and salts that cannot be changed into volatile products by the oxidizing action of UV/ozone; and, second, to remove thick films the bulk of which could be transformed into a UV-resistant film by the crosslinking action of the UV light that penetrates the surface.

3.5 Substrate

The UV/ozone cleaning process has been used with success on a variety of surfaces, including glass, quartz, mica, sapphire, ceramics, metals, silicon, gallium arsenide, and a conductive polyimide cement. Quartz and sapphire are especially easy to clean with UV/ozone since these materials are transparent to short-wavelength UV.

For example, when a pile of thin quartz crystal plates, approximately two centimeters deep, was cleaned by UV/ozone, both sides of all the plates, even those at the bottom of the pile, were cleaned by the process. Since sapphire is even more transparent, it, too, could probably be cleaned the same way. When flat quartz plates were placed on top of each other so that there could have been little or no ozone circulation between the plates, it was possible to clean both sides of the plates by the UV/ozone cleaning method. It is interesting to note that reference 37 shows that photocatalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons, without the presence of gaseous oxygen, can occur on some oxide surfaces. This suggests that UV cleaning may also work on some surfaces in ultrahigh vacuum.

When white alumina ceramic substrates were cleaned by UV/ozone, the surfaces were cleaned properly. However, the sides facing the UV became yellow, probably due

to the production of UV-induced color centers. After a few minutes at high temperatures (>160°C), the white color returned.

Metal surfaces could be cleaned by UV/ozone without any problems, so long as the UV exposure was limited to the time required to produce a clean surface. (This time should be approximately one minute or less for surfaces which have been properly precleaned.) However, prolonged exposure of oxide-forming metals to UV light can produce rapid corrosion. Silver samples, for example, blackened within one hour in UV box 1 of Vig. et al. Experiments with sheets of Kovar, stainless steel (type 302), gold. silver, and copper showed that, upon extended UV irradiation, the Kovar, the stainless steel, and the gold appeared unchanged, whereas the silver and copper oxidized on both sides, but the oxide layers were darker on the sides facing away from the UV source. When electroless gold-plated nickel parts were stored under UV/ozone for several days, a powdery black coating gradually appeared on the parts. Apparently, nickel diffused to the surface through pinholes in the gold plating, and the oxidized nickel eventually covered the gold nearly completely. The corrosion was also observed in UV box 2, even when no ozone was being generated. The rates of corrosion increased substantially when a beaker of water was placed in the UV boxes to increase the humidity. Even Kovar showed signs of corrosion under such conditions.

The corrosion may possibly be explained as follows: as is known in the science of air-pollution control, in the presence of short wavelength UV light, impurities in the air, such as oxides of nitrogen and sulfur, combine with water vapor to form a corrosive mist of nitric and sulfuric acids. Therefore, the use of controlled atmospheres in the UV box may minimize the corrosion problem.

Since UV/ozone dissociates organic molecules, it may be a useful means of cleaning some organic materials, just as etching and electropolishing are sometimes useful for cleaning metals. The process has been used successfully to clean quartz resonators which have been bonded with silver-filled polyimide cement (38). Teflon (TFE) tape exposed to UV/ozone in UV box 1 for ten days experienced a weight loss of 2.5 percent (39). Also, the contact angles measured on clean quartz plates increased after a piece of Teflon was placed next to the plates in a UV box (40). Similarly, Viton shavings taken from an O-ring experienced a weight loss of 3.7 percent after 24 hours in UV box 1. At the end of the 24 hours, the Viton surfaces had become sticky. Semiconductor surfaces have been successfully UV/ozone-cleaned without adversely affecting the functioning of the devices. For example, after a 4 K static RAM silicon integrated circuit was exposed to UV/ozone for 120 min in a commercial UV/ozone cleaner, the device continued to function without any change in performance. This IC had been made using n-channel silicon gate technology, with 1 to 1.5-µm junction depths (41).

3.6 Rate Enhancement Techniques

UV/ozone cleaning "rate enhancement" techniques have been investigated by Zafonte and Chiu (42). Experiments on gas phase enhancement techniques included a comparison of the cleaning rates in dry air, dry oxygen, moist air, and moist oxygen. The moist air and moist oxygen consisted of gases that had been bubbled through water. Oxygen that had been bubbled through hydrogen peroxide was also tried. Experiments on liquid enhancement techniques consisted of a drop-wise addition either of distilled water or of hydrogen peroxide solutions of various concentrations to the sample surfaces. Most of the sample surfaces consisted of various types of photoresist on silicon wafers.

The gas-phase "enhancement" techniques resulted in negligible to slight increases in the rates of photoresist removal (3-20 Å/min without enhancement *vs.* 3-30 Å/min with enhancement). The water and hydrogen peroxide liquid-phase enhancement techniques both resulted in significant rate enhancements (100-200 Å/min) for resists that were not exposed to ion implantation. The heavily "ion implanted" resists (10¹⁵ to 10¹⁶ atoms/cm²) were not significantly affected by UV/ozone, whether "enhanced" or not.

Photoresist removal rates of 800 to 900 Å/min for positive photoresists and 1500 to 1600 Å/min for negative photoresists (43) were reported by one manufacturer of UV/ozone cleaning equipment (43). The fast removal rate was achieved at 300° C by using a 253.7-nm source of UV, a silent discharge ozone generator, a heater built into the cleaning chamber, and oxygen from a gas cylinder to generate the ozone. A schematic drawing of this UV/ozone cleaner is shown in Figure 5. The photoresist stripping rate *vs.* temperature for three different photoresists is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 5. Schematic drawing of a UV/ozone cleaner that uses a silent-discharge ozone generator (41).



Figure 6. Photoresist stripping rate vs. substrate temperature for three types of photoresists (41).

4.0 MECHANISM OF UV/OZONE CLEANING

The available evidence indicates that UV/ozone cleaning is primarily the result of photosensitized oxidation processes, as is represented schematically in Figure 7. The contaminant molecules are excited and/or dissociated by the absorption of short-wavelength UV light. Atomic oxygen and ozone are produced simultaneously when O_2 is dissociated by the absorption of UV with wavelengths less than 245.4-nm. Atomic oxygen is also produced when ozone is dissociated by the absorption of the UV and longer wavelengths of radiation (20,21). The excited contaminant molecules and the free radicals produced by the dissociation of contaminant molecules react with atomic oxygen to form simpler, volatile molecules, such as CO_2 , H_2O , and N_2 .

The energy required to dissociate an O_2 molecule into two ground state O atoms corresponds to 245.4-nm. However, at and just below 245.4-nm the absorption of O_2 is very weak (17,20,21). The absorption coefficient increases rapidly below 200-nm with decreasing wavelengths, as is shown in Figure 3. For producing O_3 , a convenient



Figure 7. Simplified schematic representation of UV/ozone cleaning process ($v_1 = 253.7$ -nm and $v_2 = 184.9$ -nm).

wavelength is the 184.9-nm emitted by low-pressure Hg discharge lamps in fused quartz envelopes. Similarly, since most organic molecules have a strong absorption band between 200-nm and 300-nm, the 253.7-nm wavelength emitted by the same lamps is useful for exciting or dissociating contaminant molecules. The energy required to dissociate ozone corresponds to 1,140-nm; however, the absorption by ozone is relatively weak above 300-nm. The absorption reaches a maximum near the 253.7-nm wavelength, as is shown in Figure 4. The actual photochemical processes occurring during UV/ozone cleaning are more complex than that shown in Figure 7. For example, the rate of production of ozone by 184.9-nm photons is promoted by the presence of other molecules, such as N_2 and CO_2 .

As was described previously, the combination of short wavelength UV light and ozone produced clean surfaces about 200 to 2,000 times faster than UV light alone or ozone alone. Similarly, in their studies of wastewater treatment, Prengle *et al.* (28-31) found that UV enhances the reaction with ozone 10^2 to 10^4 -fold, and the products of the reactions are materials such as CO₂, H₂O, and N₂. Increasing the temperature increased the reaction rates.

The physical and chemical mechanisms of hydrocarbon removal by UV/ozone cleaning was studied in an integrated processing facility with *in situ* analysis capabilities (44). On silicon wafers intentionally contaminated with heptanol, volatilization of the hydrocarbons appeared to proceed by means of oxidation of the long carbon chain at

every carbon atom. In the final stage of the process, the carbon desorbed as CO_2 (but some carbon containing species remained on the surface). The desorbing gases were found to be mostly CO_2 and H_2O . UV/ozone cleaning at elevated (>60°C) temperature resulted not only in more complete hydrocarbon removal but also in the removal of surface OH-groups.

Mattox (45) found that mild heat increases the UV/ozone cleaning rates. Bolon and Kunz (1), on the other hand, found that the rate of ozone depolymerization of photoresists did not change significantly between 100°C and 300°C. The rate of destruction of microorganisms was similarly insensitive to a temperature increase from room temperature to 40°C (32). One manufacturer of UV/ozone cleaning equipment claims that the rate of photoresist stripping by UV/ozone increases several-fold as the temperature is raised from 20°C to 300°C (43).

5.0 UV/OZONE CLEANING IN VACUUM SYSTEMS

Sowell *et al.* (2) reported that, when 10^{-4} torr pressure of oxygen was present in a vacuum system, short-wavelength UV desorbed gases from the walls of the system. During UV irradiation, the partial pressure of oxygen decreased, while that of CO₂ and H₂O increased. Similar results were obtained by Hiroki *et al.* who found that upon turning on a short-wavelength UV lamp in a vacuum chamber, the outgassing of "H₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, *etc...*increased, while H₂O and O₂ were slightly reduced" (46).

When UV/ozone cleaning of silicon surfaces in air was compared with UV/ozone cleaning in one to 20 torr of pure oxygen in a vacuum chamber, it was found that, whereas a clean surface could be produced in 7 seconds in air, it took about 50 minutes to produce the same cleanliness level in 5 torr of oxygen. It took 60 minutes in 20 torr of oxygen, and no cleaning effect was observed in 1 torr after 60 minutes of cleaning (47). (It should be noted, however, that the cleaning conditions differed. In the air cleaning, the UV source was 1 cm from the sample. In the vacuum chamber, the UV source was 6 cm from the sample and the UV passed through a quartz window before reaching the sample.)

A UV/ozone cleaning method that is suitable for use in an ultrahigh vacuum environment uses a low pressure Hg UV lamp and a separate ozone source (48). The ozone, generated in an oxygen glow discharge at liquid nitrogen temperatures, is admitted into the vacuum chamber through a valve. A slow cleaning action was observed at a 2 x 10^{-5} torr pressure. Using the same ozone source, cleaning was also observed without the UV light when the sample was heated to 500K (227°C). The ozone molecules that reach the sample surface decompose on the heated surface. The atomic oxygen created by the decomposition can react with the contaminant molecules.

One must exercise caution in using a mercury UV source in a vacuum system because, should the lamp envelope break or leak, mercury can enter, ruin the usefulness

of the system and cause hazards due to its toxicity. Mercury has a high vapor pressure; its complete removal from a vacuum chamber is a difficult task. Other types of UV sources, such as xenon or deuterium lamps, may be safer to use in vacuum systems. The UV light can also be radiated into systems through sapphire or quartz windows, or through deep-UV fiber optic bundles. A small partial pressure of oxygen should be present during UV cleaning.

Caution must also be exercised when using UV/ozone in a cryopumped vacuum system, since cryopumped ozone is potentially explosive (49), particularly during regeneration of the cryopump. A convenient method of dealing with this potential hazard is to use two kinds of UV sources, one an ozone-generating source, the other an "ozone killer" source (50), as discussed in the next section.

Integrated processing systems, which incorporate UV/ozone cleaning, have been proposed (44,51-53) and built (54,55,56) for processing devices in vacuum or in controlled atmospheres.

6.0 SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

In constructing a UV/ozone cleaning facility, one must be aware of the safety hazards associated with exposure to short-wavelength UV light which can cause serious skin and eye injury within a short time. In the UV boxes used by Vig *et al.*, switches are attached to the doors so that when the doors are opened the UV lamps are shut off automatically. If the application demands that the UV lamps be used without being completely enclosed (for example, as might be the case if a UV cleaning facility is incorporated into a wire bonder), then proper clothing and eye protection (*e.g.*, UV safety glasses with side flaps) should be worn to prevent skin and eye damage.

Short-wavelength UV radiation is strongly absorbed by human cellular DNA. The absorption can lead to DNA-protein crosslinks, and can result in cancer, cell death, and cell mutation. It is now well-known that solar UV radiation is the prime causative factor in human skin cancer (57,58), and is a significant risk factor in eye cancer (59). The 290-320-nm portion of solar UV radiation has been found to be the most effective wavelength region for causing skin cancer. Because the atmosphere filters out the shorter wavelengths, humans are not normally exposed to wavelengths as short as 254-nm. However, in a study of the effects of UV radiation on skin cancer rates, it was found that the 254-nm wavelength was many times more effective in causing cell mutations than were those above 300-nm wavelengths. Therefore, it is essential that personnel not be exposed to the short wavelengths can cause significant damage to human cells. Safety exposure limits for ultraviolet germicidal irradiation have been set by the American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists (7,60). Another safety hazard is ozone, which is highly toxic. In setting up a UV cleaning facility, one must ensure that the ozone levels to which people are exposed do not exceed 0.1 ppm TWA and 0.3 ppm STEL (61). The time weighted average (TWA) exposure is a person's average airborne exposure in any 8-hour work shift of a 40-hour work week. The short term exposure limit (STEL) is a person's 15-minute time weighted average exposure which is not to be exceeded at any time during a work day. Ozone is a potential hazard in a cryopumped vacuum system because cryopumped ozone can become explosive under certain conditions (49).

One method of minimizing the hazards associated with ozone is to use two types of short-wavelength ultraviolet sources for UV/ozone cleaning (50): one, an ozone-generating UV lamp, *e.g.*, a low-pressure mercury light in a fused quartz envelope, the other, a UV lamp that does not generate ozone but which emits one or more wavelengths that are strongly absorbed by ozone, *e.g.*, a low-pressure mercury light in a high-silica glass tube which emits at 253.7-nm but not at 184.9-nm. Such a non-ozone generating UV source can be used as an "ozone killer." For example, in one cryopumped vacuum system, UV/ozone cleaning was performed in up to 20 torr of oxygen. After the cleaning was completed and the ozone-generating UV lamp was turned off, ten minutes of "ozone killer" UV light reduced the concentration of ozone to less than 0.01 ppm, a level that is safe for cryopumping (62). Therefore, with the "ozone killer" lamp, ozone concentrations were reduced by at least a factor of 100 within ten minutes. Without the "ozone killer" lamp, the half-life of ozone is three days at 20°C (63).

The decomposition of ozone can also be greatly accelerated through the use of catalysts. For example, prior to 1980, in high-flying aircraft, ozone was found to be a causative factor for flight personnel and passengers experiencing headaches, eye, nose and throat irritations and chest pains. Passing the aircraft cabin air through a preciousmetal catalytic converter reduced the ozone concentration from the 1-2 ppm level present in the troposphere to the low levels required for passenger comfort and safety (64).

7.0 CONSTRUCTION OF A UV/OZONE CLEANING FACILITY

The materials chosen for the construction of a UV/ozone cleaning facility should remain uncorroded by extended exposure to UV/ozone. Polished aluminum with a relatively thick anodized oxide layer, such as Alzak (8), is one such material. It is resistant to corrosion, has a high thermal conductivity, which helps to prevent heat buildup, and is also a good reflector of short-wavelength UV. Most other metals, including silver, are poor reflectors in this range.

Initially, Vig et al. used an ordinary shop-variety aluminum sheet for UV box construction, which was found not to be a good material because, in time, a thin coating of white powder (probably aluminum oxide particles) appeared at the bottom of the boxes. Even in a UV box made of standard Alzak, after a couple of years' usage, white spots appeared on the Alzak, probably due to pinholes in the anodization. The UV/ozone

cleaning system should be inspected periodically for signs of corrosion to avoid the possibility of particles being generated. The use of "Class M" Alzak may also aid in avoiding particle generation, since this material has a much thicker oxide coating and is made for "exterior marine service," instead of the "mild interior service" specified for standard Alzak. Some commercially available UV/ozone cleaners are now constructed of stainless steel (65,66). To date, no corrosion problems have been reported with such systems. The reflectance of stainless steel in the 200 to 250-nm range is about 20 percent (7).

Organic materials should not be present in the UV cleaning box. For example, the plastic insulation usually found on the leads of UV lamps must be replaced with inorganic insulation such as glass or ceramic. The box should be enclosed so as to minimize recontamination by circulating air, and to prevent accidental UV exposure and ozone escape.

The most widely available sources of short-wavelength UV light are the mercury arc lamps. Low-pressure mercury lamps in pure fused quartz envelopes operate near room temperature, emit approximately 90 percent at the 253.7-nm wavelength, and generate sufficient ozone for effective surface cleaning. Approximately five percent of the output of these lamps is at 184.9-nm. Medium- and high-pressure UV lamps (17) generally have a much higher output in the short-wavelength UV range. These lamps also emit a variety of additional wavelengths below 253.7-nm, which may enhance their cleaning action. However, they operate at high temperatures (the envelopes are near red-hot), have a shorter lifetime, higher cost, and present a greater safety hazard. The mercury tubes can be fabricated in a variety of shapes to fit different applications. In addition to mercury arc lamps, microwave-powered mercury vapor UV lamps are also available (67).

Other available sources of short-wavelength UV include xenon lamps and deuterium lamps. These lamps must also be in an envelope transparent to short-wavelength UV, such as quartz or sapphire, if no separate ozone generator is to be used. In setting up a UV cleaning facility, one should choose a UV source which will generate enough UV/ozone to allow for rapid photosensitized oxidation of contaminants. However, too high an output at the ozone-generating wavelengths can be counterproductive because a high concentration of ozone can absorb most of the UV light before it reaches the parts to be cleaned. The parts should be placed as close to the UV source as possible to maximize the intensity reaching them. In the UV cleaning box 1 of Vig *et al.*, the parts to be cleaned were placed on an Alzak stand the height of which can be adjusted to bring them close to the UV lamp. The parts to be cleaned can also be placed directly onto the tube if the box is built so that the tube is on the bottom of the box (68).

An alternative to using low-pressure mercury lamps in fused quartz envelopes is to use an arrangement similar to that of box 2, shown in Figure 1. Such a UV/ozone cleaner, now also available commercially (43), uses silent-discharge-generated ozone and a UV source that generates the 253.7-nm wavelength, as is shown in Figure 5. The manufacturer claims a cleaning rate that is much faster than that obtainable with UV/ozone cleaners that do not contain separate ozone generators. This cleaner also uses oxygen from a gas cylinder and a built-in sample heater that may further increase the cleaning rate.

8.0 APPLICATIONS

8.1 Cleaning of Silicon Surfaces

Photoresist removal (1,6,13,43) and cleaning of silicon wafers for enhancing photoresist adhesion (69-71) and removing carbonaceous contamination have been primary applications of UV/ozone cleaning. The removal of carbonaceous contamination is important because, if carbon is not completely removed from the surface during the cleaning procedure, it can form silicon carbide on the surface at about 800°C that can be removed only by heating up to 1200°C (72).

Although wet-chemical cleaning has been widely used in the fabrication of semiconductor devices, as the device geometries have been reduced to submicron levels, the inherent shortcomings of wet-chemical cleaning methods have heightened interest in "dry" cleaning techniques (73). UV/ozone cleaning has been found to be a highly effective dry cleaning method for eliminating organic contaminants; it has also been found to lead to rapid oxidation of etched silicon surfaces (71-82). The oxide can be desorbed in vacuum at below 900°C to produce a contamination-free surface, as evidenced by Auger electron spectroscopy (71). UV irradiation using a high pressure mercury lamp and disilane gas at 20 torr and 730°C has also led to effective surface cleaning during silicon epitaxy (83).

When photochemical reactions (*e.g.*, UV/ozone cleaning) were compared with plasma processes (*e.g.*, plasma cleaning), the plasma processes were found to "cause harmful radiation damage. Moreover, because of the widely distributed electron energy in the plasma and the activation of a lot of reactions at the same time, the plasma process has poor controllability" (81).

A study of the surface chemistry of silicon wafers after various cleaning processes revealed that exposing anhydrous HF treated wafers to UV/ozone not only removed hydrocarbons and produced an oxide layer, but also removed the silicon fluoride species (76). When compared with wet cleaning techniques based on hydrogen peroxide, the UV/ozone was found to reduce the potential for contamination by the metallic impurities present in H_2O_2 . "The cleanest silicon surface with respect to metallic and hydrocarbon impurities was achieved with a HF etch- H_2O rinse-UV/ozone oxidation process."

In another study, high resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS) and other high sensitivity surface analytical techniques were used to investigate the mechanisms of hydrocarbon removal from Si wafers by UV/ozone and other cleaning techniques (82). A drop of a hydrocarbon (cyclohexane) was spun onto an HF-dip cleaned Si(100) surface. After the HF-dip cleaning, the surface was found to be hydrogen-passivated, *i.e.*, saturated with SiH and SiH₂ groups. The adsorbed hydrocarbons did not replace the surface hydrogen, but adsorbed molecularly on too of the hydrogen. During the first 45 to 60 seconds of UV/ozone cleaning, at room temperature, very rapid oxidation of the Si surface occurred, and the hydrogen that saturated the surface after the HF dip was transformed into OH groups. Since hydrocarbons were still present at the end of this initial cleaning period, the oxidation apparently occurred underneath the contaminant layer. The UV/ozone treatment transformed the previously hydrophobic surface into a hydrophilic surface.

HREELS was also used to compare an RCA cleaned Si(100) surface with a UV/ozone cleaned one (82). The spectral signatures of the two surfaces were "very much alike." The authors conclude that "The UV-O₃ process is a gas-phase process that creates an oxide very similar to that after the standard RCA wet surface clean. The UV-O₃ process also removes hydrocarbons with similar efficiency as the RCA clean. Pending further study of metal removal, the UV-O₃ process seems a viable gas-phase replacement for the RCA clean" (82).

When several variations of HF treatments were examined for suitability as pretreatment for a silicon epitaxy process, the optimum treatment consisted of the steps of HF dipping, deionized water rinsing, nitrogen gas blowing for drying, and UV/ozone cleaning (77).

In the production of high-quality epitaxial films by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), the cleaning of substate surfaces is one of the most important steps. UV/ozone cleaning of silicon substates in silicon MBE has been found to be effective in producing near defect-free MBE films (47,81,84-87). By using UV/ozone cleaning, the above 1200°C temperatures required for removing surface carbon in the conventional method can be lowered to well below 1000°C. The slip lines resulting from thermal stresses and thermal pits that are often produced by the high-temperature treatment are minimized in the lower temperature processing. Impurity redistribution in the substrate is also reduced.

Vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) light from a synchrotron source has been used in the low temperature cleaning of HF passivated Si surfaces (88). In another study, VUV from a microwave excited deuterium lamp was used in low-temperature (*i.e.*, 650°C) silicon epitaxial growth (89). Organic contamination was effectively removed from Si, GaAs and MgF₂ substrates by 124-nm VUV radiation from a krypton source at 0.5 to 760 torr of air pressure (90). During Si molecular beam epitaxy, UV radiation from 193-nm ArF or 248-nm KrF lasers was found to enhance the interdiffusion of Si and B₂O₃, Sb incorporation, and the Hall mobility (91).

A two-step annealing method has been used to reduce the leakage currents in 64 M-bit silicon dynamic random-access memory chips (92). The first annealing step is

at 300°C while the wafers are exposed to UV/ozone, and the second is at 800°C in dry oxygen.

In the processing of semiconductor wafers, a single UV/ozone exposure has been found to be capable both of "descumming" and of stabilizing (93). After developing and rinsing the photoresist pattern, the UV/ozone removes the thin layers of organic photoresist residue (scum) from the "clear" regions. The photoresist stabilization is believed to be due to crosslinking produced by the short-wavelength (deep) UV radiation (94). The stabilization rate is accelerated by increasing the temperature. For example, UV/ozone exposure times of 10 to 30 minutes from a 25 cm x 25 cm low-pressure mercury grid lamp at 100°C yields satisfactory results. The stabilized photoresist pattern exhibits 1) improved adhesion to the substrate, 2' improved ability to maintain geometrical shape under thermal stress, and 3) improved ability to withstand exposure to the etchants and solvents used to create the desired patterns in the circuit coatings (93).

UV/ozone cleaning has also been used in studies of the wetting of silicon and silica wafers (95-102). These studies included investigations of the evolution of tiny drops of polydimethylsiloxane and squalane on UV/ozone cleaned Si wafers, and the dynamics of ultra-thin wetting films under a controlled atmosphere. UV/ozone cleaning was also used in studies of surface-chemical reactions (103), and in the formation of diblock copclymer films on silicon wafers (104).

The use of UV/ozone treatment for the removal of contaminants from thin film transistors, and from substrates of complex composition or geometries has also been studied (105). Surfaces that were not directly irradiated by the UV became clean, but the required cleaning time was longer, in agreement with earlier results (3-6) on cleaning by UV/ozone vs. ozone alone. The chemistry of oxidized hydrocarbons on SiO₂ was found to differ from that on gold; UV/ozone was able to remove hydrocarbons from SiO₂ much faster than from gold. On thin film transistors, the <math>UV/ozone cleaned the field oxide regions faster than the single component surfaces (105).

UV/ozone cleaning has been used in a variety of silicon processing studiec. It was used as a precleaning step in investigations of: remote plasma cleaning using a hydrogen plasma (106), the breakup upon annealing of a thin oxide film between a polysilicon film and the silicon substrate (107), the effect of UV irradiation on minority-carrier recombination lifetime (108), and the chemical vapor deposition of titanium nitride onto silicon wafers (109).

8.2 Cleaning of Other Semiconductor Surfaces

UV/ozone cleaning has also been applied to the cleaning and oxidation of gallium arsenide (GaAs) wafers (110-122), and to cleaning and "ozone etching" of indium phosphide (InP) substrates (122-126). In the growth of GaAs by molecular beam epitaxy and by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) substrate cleanliness is critically important.

Contamination of the substrate/epitaxial layer interface leads to defects that reduce the yield of functional devices. Carbonaceous contamination is the primary problem. UV/ozone has been shown to be an effective means of removing carbonaceous contamination and, at the same time, producing an oxide-passivated surface (110). The carbon-free oxide can be removed by heating in ultrahigh vacuum prior to MBE layer growth.

The formation of a sacrificial oxide layer on GaAs is a well established step in the preparation of *in situ* cleaned substrates prior to MBE. A problem with oxides formed in air or in deionized (DI) water is that the oxide tends to be Ga rich, with As pile-up at the oxide/GaAs interface. When such an oxide is thermally desorbed, the stoichiometry of the surface is not preserved and the MBE layer is, thereby, degraded. In UV/ozone produced oxide layers, the As/Ga ratios and the As-oxide/Ga-oxide ratios are much closer to unity than for other oxidation methods (111,118,122). In the same amount of time, the UV/ozone also produces a much thicker oxide layer than air exposure. For example, in ten minutes, UV/ozone produces a 2.0-nm to 2.5-nm oxide layer. In air alone, 24 hours are required to produce the same thickness. A longer oxidation time increases the amount of adsorbed and absorbed carbonaceous contamination. The contamination rate of a UV/ozone produced oxide surface was found to be "at least an order of magnitude less" than that of a DI-water-produced oxide surface when observed for days in an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) system (111).

A problem with AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructure field effect transistors (HFETs) is sidegating, *i.e.*, the electrical interaction between two closely spaced devices which were intended to be isolated from each other. Sidegating was traced to carbon contamination, presumably due to the adsorption of carbonaceous contamination from the atmosphere. When UV/ozone cleaning was compared with other methods, "The carbon concentration of the interfacial region decreased by two orders of magnitude for the wafers exposed to ultraviolet radiation...A dramatic improvement in sidegating was observed for the wafers subjected to the ultraviolet-ozone cleaning procedure." (114). Similarly, another study found that "Ultraviolet/ozone cleaning of GaAs substrates prior to metalorganic molecular beam epitaxy at 500°C is shown to reduce the interfacial C and O concentrations by more than two orders of magnitude...UV/ozone cleaning...is a necessity for obtaining MESFET performance undegraded by parallel conduction from the substrate-epitaxial layer interface." (118).

In a study of light-enhanced oxidation of GaAs surfaces, it was found that photon energies higher than 4.1 eV (which is the energy needed to dissociate O_2) greatly enhanced the oxidation rate (117). The temperature at which the oxide desorbs from GaAs surfaces was found to be 638°C for UV/ozone-produced oxide vs. 582°C for thermally produced oxide (120). When the native oxide and Fermi level of UV/ozone formed oxides on GaAs were investigated (127), it was found that the surface oxide consisted of a mixture of gallium and arsenic oxide phases which desorb at two different temperature ranges. Desorption of arsenic oxide phases and oxygen transfer from arsenic to gallium occurred at 250-500°C, and desorption of gallium oxide phases occurred at 550-600°C.

Oxide passivation with UV/ozone followed by thermal desorption also works well on InP. Epitaxial growth has successfully been carried out on InP surfaces so cleaned (122,123). When the native oxides on InP surfaces were compared after solvent cleaning, etching with two different wet chemical etchants, and "ozone etching" with UV/ozone, the surface compositions were found to vary greatly with the surface treatment. The ozone-etched surface contained the most oxygen, and the In:P ratio increased as the surface treatment became more oxidizing (124). The oxides grown on InP can improve the electrical properties of InP interfaces (123).

8.3 Other Applications

The UV/ozone cleaning procedure is now used in numerous applications in addition to the cleaning of semiconductor surfaces. A major use is substrate cleaning prior to thin film deposition. The process is also being applied in a hermetic sealing method which relies on the adhesion between clean surfaces in an ultrahigh vacuum (14,51,128,129). It has been shown that metal surfaces will weld together under near-zero forces if the surfaces are atomically clean. A gold gasket between gold metallized (UV/ozone cleaned) aluminum oxide sealing surfaces is currently providing excellent hermetic seals in the production of a ceramic flatpack enclosed quartz resonator. It has also been shown (51,128,129) that it is feasible to achieve hermetic seals by pressing a clean aluminum gasket between two clean, unmetallized aluminum oxide ceramic surfaces.

The same adhesion phenomenon between UV/ozone cleaned gold surfaces has been applied to the construction of a novel surface contaminant detector (130,131). The rate of decrease in the coefficient of adhesion between freshly cleaned gold contacts is used as a measure of the gaseous condensable contaminant level in the atmosphere.

The process has also been applied to improve the reliability of wire bonds, especially at reduced temperatures. For example, it has been shown (132,133) that the thermocompression bonding process is highly temperature dependent when organic contaminants are present on the bonding surfaces. The temperature dependence can be greatly reduced by UV/ozone cleaning of the surfaces just prior to bonding, as is shown in Figure 8. In a study of the effects of cleaning methods on gold ball bond shear strength, UV/ozone cleaning was found to be the most effective method of cleaning contaminants from gold surfaces (134). UV/ozone is also being used for cleaning alumina substrate surfaces during the processing of thin film hybrid circuits (135).

A number of cleaning methods were tested when the nonuniform appearance of thermal/flash protective electrooptic goggles was traced to organic contaminants on the electrooptic wafers. UV/ozone proved to be the most effective method for removing these contaminants, and thus it was chosen for use in the production of the goggles (136).



Figure 8. Effect of UV/ozone cleaning on gold-to-gold thermocompression bonding (58). Data are for t/c ball bonds of $25\mu m$ diameter gold wire bonded to gold-chromium metallization.

Other applications have been: photoresist removal (1,6,13,43), the cleaning of vacuum chamber walls (2), photomasks (69), lenses (69), mirrors (69), solar panels (69), sapphire (69) (before the deposition of HgCdTe) and other fine linewidth devices (69,70,137), inertial guidance subcomponents (glass, chromium-oxide surfaced-gas bearings, and beryllium) (69,138), the cleaning of stainless steel for studying a milk-stainless steel interface (139), the cleaning of amorphous alloy Metglas 2826 (140) and of sintered beryllium oxide (141), the cleaning of adsorbed species originating from epoxy adhesives (15), the removal of organic materials deposited during the deposition of antireflective silica coatings (142), the cleaning of surfaces prior to the deposition of

monolayer films (143-145), in a study of the frictional behavior of thin film magnetic disks (146), in friction studies in ultrahigh vacuum (147,148), in studies of the spreading of liquid droplets (149), the cleaning of an X-ray grating which was carbon-contaminated during synchrotron radiation (150), in the preparation of high temperature superconducting films (151), and in the fabrication of liquid crystal displays (152). Surface cleaning of niobium superconducting cavities with UV/ozone was found to result in rf performance that was superior to the performance of cavities cleaned by chemical or thermal methods (153). Since short-wavelength UV can generate radicals and ions, a side benefit of UV/ozone cleaning of insulator surfaces can be the neutralization of static charges (154).

9.0 EFFECTS OTHER THAN CLEANING

Short-wavelength UV, ozone, and the combination of the two can have effects other than surface cleaning. Among the more significant of these effects are the following:

9.1 Oxidation

Ozone's oxidation power is second only to that of fluorine. Ozone can oxidize most inorganic compounds to their final oxidative state (63). For most substrates, UV/ozone cleaning, for the minimum time necessary to obtain a clean surface, will not cause a significant amount of oxidation. However, extended storage under UV/ozone may be detrimental for some oxidizable surfaces. In some cases, the enhanced oxide formation may be beneficial. For example, whereas the "native" oxide on GaAs is only about 3-nm thick, UV/ozone produces an oxide layer that is 10-30 nm thick (112), *i.e.*, UV/ozone can produce a clean, oxide passivated surface. Similarly, the native UV/ozone-produced oxide layer at the interface of HgCdTe/SiO₂ has been found to enhance the interface properties (155). Solar radiation and atmospheric ozone have been found to markedly enhance the sulfidation of copper (156). Extended exposure to UV/ozone has been found to significantly increase the oxide layer thickness on aluminum surfaces (157). Whereas the oxide thickness on air-exposed aluminum surfaces is normally limited to about 50-Å, UV/ozone exposure increased the oxide layer thickness significantly beyond the "normal" 50-Å limit, as is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. UV/ozone exposure vs. oxide thickness on aluminum.

Substrate treatment	Oxide thickness (Å)
Evaporate 1 µm of aluminum	47
10-minute UV/ozone cleaning	90
60-minute UV/ozone cleaning	200

When the oxidation of silicon surfaces was studied by Auger electron spectroscopy, it was found (72) that "an etched silicon surface can be exposed to air for about 1 hour without showing the silicon oxide signal at 82 eV. Under the UV/ozone treatment a rapid oxidation takes place. The peak characteristic of silicon oxide appears after one minute of irradiation. The increase of the intensity of this peak gives evidence for a thickening of the layer." Ten minutes of UV/ozone cleaning increased the oxide thickness on oxidized silicon substrates from 0.9-nm to 1.2-nm (85).

The ozone produced by a UV/ozone generator was found to enhance the growth rate of SiO₂ during the thermal oxidation of Si at 800°C (75). After a 140-minute oxidation period, the SiO₂ film thickness was 290 Å with ozone *vs.* 148 Å without ozone. The oxide growth rate enhancement decreased at higher temperatures and longer exposure times (*i.e.*, with thicker films), presumably because "during the initial stage of silicon oxidation, the reaction at the silicon surface may be the controlling factor, whereas in the later stage, the diffusion of reactant through the oxide layer becomes important."

9.2 UV-enhanced Outgassing

Short-wavelength UV has been found to enhance the outgassing of glasses (158). The UV light produced the evolution of significant quantities of hydrogen, water, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide. The hydrogen evolution was proportional to the amount of radiation incident on the samples. For UV-opaque glasses, the evolution occurred from the side exposed to the UV; for high-transmission samples, the gas evolved from both sides.

9.3 Other Surface/Interface Effects

Energetic radiation such as UV and gamma radiation has been reported to produce dehydration a 1 the formation of free radicals on silica surfaces (159). However, dehydrated (or siloxinated) silica surfaces are hydrophobic (160,161), whereas UV/ozone-cleaned silica (quartz) surfaces exhibit a very low (less than 4°) water contact angle, thus indicating that the UV/ozone does not dehydrate the surfaces, nor does it modify surface silanol groups the way high temperature vacuum baking does (162). UV/ozone has been shown to be able to convert hydrophobic surfaces into hydrophilic ones. Shortwavelength UV has also been found to produce a bleaching effect in Si-Si₃ interfaces with thin oxides (163), and has also been found to produce yellowing (color centers) during the cleaning of aluminum oxide ceramics (39). The yellowing can be readily bleached by heating the sample to above 160°C.

9.4 Etching

Short-wavelength (193-nm) UV laser irradiation of biological and polymeric materials has been shown to be capable of etching the materials with great precision, *via* "ablative photodecomposition," and without significant heating of the samples. Linewidths 5 μ m

wide have been etched onto a plastic film to demonstrate the capability of this technique (164). Oxygen does not appear to have the same significance in this process as it does in UV/ozone cleaning. The etch depth *vs.* fluence in vacuum and in air were found to be the same (165).

In a study of the photodegradation of polyimide films, it was found that "the complete photooxidation process requires photolysis with light below 300-nm to produce both chain scission and photooxidative ablation efficiently," in the presence of oxygen (166).

UV light of wavelengths less than 200-nm has been proposed for selectively removing biological materials, *e.g.*, skin lesions and decayed teeth (167). UV/ozone has been found to etch Teflon (39,40), and Viton (39), and will likely etch other organic materials as well (168,169). The susceptibility of polymers to degradation by ozone can be reduced by various additives and through the elimination of "the offending double bonds from the backbone structure of the polymers" (170). Vacuum ultraviolet radiation has been used to form images in polymer films (171,172). Photoetching of polymer films with soft x-rays has also been studied (173). The etching of polymethyl mathacrylate (PMMA) by UV and VUV has also been investigated (174).

10.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The UV/ozone cleaning procedure has been shown to be a highly effective method of removing a variety of contaminants from silicon, and compound semiconductor wafers, as well as from many other types of surfaces. It is a simple-to-use dry process that is inexpensive to set up and operate. It can produce clean surfaces at room temperature, either in a room atmosphere or in a controlled atmosphere. "The UV-O₃ process is a gasphase process that creates an oxide very similar to that after the standard RCA wet surface clean. The UV-O₃ process also removes hydrocarbons with similar efficiency as the RCA clean" (82).

In combination with a dry method for removing inorganic contamination, such as cleaning with UV-excited high purity chlorine gas (175), the method may meet the requirements for the all-dry cleaning methods that will be necessary for processing of future generations of semiconductor devices. When compared with plasma cleaning, U/Ozone cleaning produces less radiation damage and is more controllable (81).

The variables of the UV cleaning procedure are the contaminants initially present, the precleaning procedure, the wavelengths and intensity emitted by the UV source, the atmosphere between the source and sample, the distance between the source and sample, and the time of exposure. For surfaces that are properly precleaned and placed within a few millimeters of an ozone-producing UV source, the process can yield a clean surface in less than one minute. The combination of short-wavelength UV plus ozone produces a clean surface substantially faster than short-wavelength UV without ozone or ozone without UV light. Clean surfaces will remain clean indefinitely during storage under

UV/ozone, but prolonged exposure of oxide-forming metals to UV/ozone in room air can produce rapid corrosion.

The cleaning mechanism seems to be a photosensitized oxidation process in which the contaminant molecules are excited and/or dissociated by the absorption of short-wavelength UV. Simultaneously, atomic oxygen is generated when molecular oxygen is dissociated and when ozone is dissociated by the absorption of short and long wavelengths of radiation. The products of the excitation of contaminant molecules react with atomic oxygen to form simpler molecules, such as CO_2 and H_2O , which desorb from the surfaces.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Bolon, D. A. and Kunz, C. O., Ultraviolet Depolymerization of Photoresist Polymers, J. of Polymer Engin. Sci. 12:109-111 (1972)
- 2. Sowell, R. R., Cuthrell, R. E., Mattox, D. M., and Bland, R. D., Surface Cleaning by Ultraviolet Radiation, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* 11:474-475 (1974)
- 3. Vig, J. R., Cook, C. F. Jr., Schwidtal, K., LeBus, J. W., and Hafner, E., Surface Studies for Quartz Resonators, in: Proc. 28th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, Philadelphia, PA, 1976, AD 011113, pp. 96-108 (1974)
- 4. Vig, J. R., LeBus, J. W., and Filler, R. L., Further Results on UV Cleaning and Ni Electrobonding, in: Proc. 29th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, Philadelphia, PA, 1977. pp. 220-229, AD A017466 (1975)
- 5. Vig, J. R., UV/Ozone Cleaning of Surfaces, *IEEE Transactions on Parts, Hybrids,* and Packaging, PHP-12(4):365-370 (December 1976)
- 6. Vig, J. R., UV/Ozone Cleaning of Surfaces in: <u>Treatise on Clean Surface</u> <u>Technology, Vol. 1</u> (K. L. Mittal, ed.), pp. 1-26, Plenum Press, NY (1987)
- 7. Sylvania Engineering Bulletin 0-342, Germicidal and Short-Wave Ultraviolet Radiation, GTE Products Corporation, Sylvania Lighting Center, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923 (1981)
- 8. Alzak is an aluminum reflector material with a corrosion-resistant oxide coating. The Alzak process is licensed to several manufacturers by the Aluminum Co. of America, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.
- 9. Model No. R-52 Mineralight Lamp, UVP, Inc., San Gabriel, CA 91778.
- 10. See, e.g., Encyclopaedic Dictionary of Physics, Vol. 5, p. 275, Pergamon Press, New York (1962)
- Schrader, M. E., Surface-Contamination Detection Through Wettability Measurements, in: Surface Contamination: Its Genesis, Detection and Control, (K. L. Mittal, ed.), Vol. 2, pp. 541-555, Plenum Press, New York (1979)
- Bryson, C. E., and Sharpen, L. J., An ESCA Analysis of Several Surface Cleaning Techniques, in: Surface Contamination: Its Genesis, Detection and Control, (K. L. Mittal, ed.), Vol. 2, pp. 687-696, Plenum Press, New York (1979)

- 13. Holloway, P. H., and Bushmire, D. W., Detection by Auger Electron Spectroscopy and Removal by Ozonization Photoresist Residues, in: Proc. 12th Ann. Reliability Phys. Symp., pp. 180-186, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (1974)
- 14. Peters, R. D., Ceramic Flatpack Enclosures for Precision Crystal Units, in: Proc. 30th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, pp. 224-231, Philadelphia, PA, AD A046089 (1976)
- 15. Benson, R. C., Nall, B. H., Satkiewitz, F. G., and Charles, H. K. Jr., Surface Analysis of Adsorbed Species from Epoxy Adhesives Used in Microelectronics, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 21:219-229 (1985)
- 16. Braun, W. L., ISS/SIMS Characterization of UV/0₃ Cleaned Surfaces, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 6:39-46 (1980)
- 17. Calvert, J. G., and Pitts, J. N. Jr., *Photochemistry*, pp. 205-209, 687-705, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1966)
- 18. Fikhtengolts, V. S., Zolotareva, R. V., and L'vov, Yu A., *Ultraviolet Spectrum of Elastomers and Rubber Chemicals*, Plenum Press Data Div., New York (1966)
- 19. Lang, L., *Absorption Spectra in the Ultraviolet and Visible Region*, Academic Press, New York (1965)
- 20. McNesby, J. R., and Okabe, H., Oxygen and Ozone, in: Advances in *Photochemistry*, (Noyes, W. A. Jr., Hammond, G. S., and Pitts, J. N., eds.), Vol. 3, pp. 166-174, Interscience Publishers, New York (1964)
- 21. Volman, D. H., Photochemical Gas Phase Reactions in the Hydrogen-Oxygen System, in: *Advances in Photochemistry*, (Noyes, W. A., Hammond G. S., and Pitts, J. N., eds.), Vol. 1, pp. 43-82, Interscience Publishers, New York (1963)
- 22. P. R. Hoffman Co., Carlisle, PA. 17013.
- 23. John Crane Lapping Vehicle 3M, Crane Packing Co., Morton Grove, IL 60053.
- 24. Welch Duo-Seal, Sargent-Welch Scientific Co., Skokie, IL 60076.
- 25. Dow Corning Corp., Midland, MI 48640.
- 26. Dutch Boy No. 205, National Lead Co., New York, NY 10006.
- 27. Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY 14650.

- 28. Prengle, H. W. Jr., Mauk, C. E., Legan, R. W., and Hewes, C. G., Ozone/UV Process Effective Wastewater Treatment, *Hydrocarbon Processing* 54:82-87 (October 1975)
- 29. Prengle, H. W. Jr., Mauk, C. E., and Payne, J. E., Ozone/UV Oxidation of Chlorinated Compounds in Water, Forum on Ozone Disinfection (1976); International Ozone Institute, Warren Bldg., Suite 206, 14805 Detroit Ave., Lakewood, OH 44107.
- 30. Prengle, H. W. Jr., and Mauk, C. E., Ozone/UV Oxidation of Pesticides in Aqueous Solution, Workshop on Ozone/Chlorine Dioxide Oxidation Products of Organic Materials, EPA/International Ozone Institute (November 1976)
- 31. Prengle, H. W. Jr., in: Proc. International Ozone Symp., Warren Bldg., Suite 206, 14805 Detroit Avenue, Lakewood, OH 44107 (1978)
- 32. Zeff, J. D., Barton, R. R., Smiley, B., and Alhadeff, E., UV-Ozone Water Oxidation/ Sterilization Process, US Army Medical Research and Development Command, Final Report, Contract No. DADA 17073-C-3138, AD A0044205 (September 1974)
- Raloff, J., Detoxifying PCBs Everything from Microbes to Vitamin C is Being Considered in New Approaches to Degrade PCBs, *Science News* 132:154-155 (September 5, 1987)
- 34. Wiegner, K. K., Toxins, Toxins Everywhere, Forbes p. 298 (July 22, 1991)
- Snelson, A., Clark, D., and Brabets, R., Fluorocarbon/O₃ + UV Decontamination System, Proc. US Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center Scientific Conf., Chemical Defense Research, Aberdeen, Maryland, 18-21 November 1986, Vol. 1, AD-B113 947.
- 36. Boenig, H. V., Structure and Properties of Polymers, p. 246, Wiley, New York (1973)
- 37. Filimonov, V. N., *Elementary Photoprocesses in Molecules,* (B. S. Neporent, ed.), pp. 248-259, Consultants Bureau, New York (1968)
- 38. Filler, R. L., Frank, J. M., Peters, R. D., and Vig, J. R., Polyimide Bonded Resonators, Proc. 32nd Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 290-298 (1978)
- 39. LeBus, J. W., and Vig, J. R., U.S. Army Electronics Technology and Devices Lab., Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703, unpublished information (1976)
- 40. Kusters, J., Hewlett Packard Co., Santa Clara, CA 95050, personal communication (1977)
- 41. Lasky, E., UVOCS Inc., Montgomeryville, PA, personal communication (1978)
- 42. Zafonte, L., and Chiu, R., Technical Report on UV-Ozone Resist Strip Feasibility Study, UVP, Inc., 5100 Walnut Grove Avenue, San Gabriel, CA 91778, September 1983; presented at the SPIE Santa Clara Conf. Microlithography in March 1984.
- 43. Application Note, Photoresist Stripping With the UV-1 Dry Stripper, March Instruments Inc., Concord, CA 94520.
- 44. Kasi, S. R. and Liehr, M., Vapor Phase Hydrocarbon Removal for Si Processing, Appl. Phys. Lett. 57(20):2095-2097 (12 November 1990)
- 45. Mattox, D. M., Surface Cleaning In Thin Film Technology, *Thin Solid Films* 53:81-96 (1978)
- 46. Hiroki, S., Abe, T., Murakami, Y., Kinoshita, S., Naganuma, T., and Adachi, N., Experiment on Surface Cleaning by Ultra Violet Ray Irradiation, *J. Vac. Soc. Japan* 31(10):850-853 (1989)
- 47. Kaneko, T., Suemitsu, M., and Miyamoto, N., Low Temperature Silicon Surface Cleaning by HF Etching/Ultraviolet Ozone Cleaning (HF/UVOC) Method (II)--*in situ* UVOC, Japanese J. of Appl. Phys. 28(12):2425-2429 (1989)
- 48. Lenssinck, J. M., Hoeven, A. J., van Loenen, E. J., and Dijkkamp, D., Carbon Removal from As-received Si Samples in Ultrahigh Vacuum Using Ultraviolet Light and an Ozone Beam, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* B9(4):1963-1966 (Jul/Aug 1991)
- 49. Chen, C. W., and Struss, R. G., On the Cause of Explosions in Reactor Cryostats for Liquid Nitrogen, *Cryogenics* 9:131-132 (April 1969)
- 50. Vig, J. R. and LeBus, J. W., Method of Cleaning Surfaces by Irradiation With Ultraviolet Light, U.S. Pat. No. 4,028,135, issued June 7, 1977.
- 51. Hafner, E., and Vig, J. R., Method of Processing Quartz Crystal Resonators, U.S. Pat. No. 3,914,836, issued October 28, 1975.
- 52. Deal, B. E., McNeilly, M. A., Kao, D. B., and deLarios, J. M., Vapor Phase Wafer Cleaning Processing for the 1990s, *Solid State Technology* 73-77 (July 1990)

- 53. Offenberg, M., Liehr, M., and Rugloff, G. W., Surface Etching and Roughening in Integrated Processing of Thermal Oxides, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* A9(3):1058-1065 (May/Jun 1991)
- 54. Ney, R. J. and Hafner, E., Continuous Vacuum Processing System for Quartz Crystal Resonators, Proc. 33rd Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, pp. 368-373, AD-A213544 (1979)
- 55. Frank, J. M., Vacuum Processing System for Quartz Resonators, Proc. 35th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, pp. 40-47, AD-A110870 (1981)
- 56. Liehr, M., Integrated Thermal Chemical Vapor Deposition Processing for Si Technology, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 8(4):1939-1946 (May/June 1990)
- 57. Peak, M. J., Peak, J. G., and Jones, C. A., Different (direct and indirect) Mechanisms for the Induction of DNA-Protein Crosslinks in Human Cells by Farand Near-Ultraviolet Radiations (290 and 405-nm), *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 42:141-146 (1985)
- 58. Kubitschak, H. R., Baker, K. S., and Peak, J. M., Enhancement of Mutagenesis and Human Skin Cancer Rates Resulting From Increased Fluences of Solar Ultraviolet Radiation, to be published in Photochemistry and Photobiology.
- 59. Tucker, M. A., Shields, J. A., Hartge, P., Augsburger, J., Hoover, R. N., and Fraumeni, J. F. Jr., Sunlight Exposure as Risk Factor for Intraocular Malignant Melanoma, New England J. Medicine 313:789-792 (1985)
- 60. American Conf. Governmental and Industrial Hygienists, Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices for 1988-1989, ACGIH, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 61. Air Contaminants Permissible Exposure Limits (Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1910.1000), OSHA 3112, U. S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1989)
- 52. Ehlers, D. A., Ozone Generation and Decomposition by UV in the ERADCOM QXFF, Report No. PT81-004, General Electric Neutron Devices Dept., P.O. Box 2908, Largo, FL 34924 (January 26, 1981)
- 63. Matheson Gas Data Book, Published by Matheson Gas Products Co., East Rutherford, NJ, 6th Edition, pp. 574-577 (1980)
- 64. Bonacci, J. C., Egbert, W., Collins, M. F., and Heck, R. M., New Catalytic Abatement Product Decomposes Ozone in Jet Aircraft Passenger Cabins, International Precious Metals Institute Proceedings, 1982; reprint and additional

literature on DEOXO Catalytic Ozone Converters is available from Engelhard Corp., Specialty Chemicals Div., 2655 U.S. Rt. 22, Union, NJ 07083.

- 65. UVOCS Inc. P.O. Box 543, Montgomeryville, PA 18936.
- 66. UVP, Inc., 5100 Walnut Grove Ave., San Gabriel, CA 91778.
- 67. Petelin, A. N., and Ury, M. G., Plasma Sources for Deep-UV Lithography, in: VLSI Electronics: Microstructure Science, Vol. 8, Plasma Processing for VLSI (Einspruch, N. G., and Brown, D. M., eds.), Academic Press (1984)
- 68. R. D. Peters, General Electric Neutron Devices Dept., P.O. Box 2908, Largo, FL 34924, personal communication (1976)
- 69. Lasky, E., UVOCS Inc., Montgomeryville, PA 18936, personal communication (1983)
- 70. Ruzyllo, J., Durnako, G. T., and Hoff, A. M., Preoxidation UV Treatment of Silicon Wafers, J. Electrochemic. Soc. 134(8):2052-2055 (August 1987)
- Krusor, B. S., Biegelsen, D. K., Yingling, R. D., and Abelson, J. R., Ultraviolet-Ozone Cleaning of Silicon Surfaces Studied by Auger Spectroscopy, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* B7(1):129-130 (January/February 1989)
- 72. Baunack, S. and Zehe, A., A Study of UV/Ozone Cleaning Procedure for Silicon Surfaces, *Phys. stat. sol.* 115(1):223-228 (16 September 1989)
- 73. Ruzyllo, J., Evaluating the Feasibility of Dry Cleaning of Silicon Wafers, *Microcontamination* 6(2):39-43 (March 1988)
- 74. Ruzyllo, J., Hoff, A. M., Frystak, D. C., and Hossain, S. D., Electrical Evaluation of Wet and Dry Cleaning Procedures for Silicon Device Fabrication, J. *Electrochem. Soc.* 136 (5):1474-1476 (1989)
- 75. Chao, S. C., Pitchai, R., and Lee, Y. H., Enhancement in Thermal Oxidation of Silicon by Ozone, J. Electrochem. Soc. 136(9):2751-2752 (September 1989)
- Zazzera, L. A. and Moulder, J. F., XPS and SIMS Study of Anhydrous HF and UV/Ozone-Modified Silicon (100) Surfaces, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 136(2):484-491 (1989)

- 77. Suemitsu, M., Kaneko, T., and Miyamoto, N., Low Temperature Silicon Surface Cleaning by HF Etching/Ultraviolet Ozone Cleaning (HF/UVOC) Method (I)--Optimization of the HF Treatment--, *Japanese J. Appl. Phys.* 28(12):2421-2424 (1989)
- 78. Hossain, S. D., Pantano, C. G., and Ruzyllo, J., Removal of Surface Organic Contaminants during Thermal Oxidation of Silicon, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 137(10):3287-3291 (1990)
- 79. Kao, D. B., deLarios, J. M., Helms, C. R., and Deal, B. E., A Study of the Breakdown Testing of Thermal Silicon Oxides and the Effects of Preoxidation Surface Treatment, Proc. 27th Ann. IEEE Reliability Phys. Symp., pp. 9-16 (1989)
- 80. Baumgartner, H., Fuenzalida, V., and Eisele, I., Ozone Cleaning of the Si-SiO₂ System, *Appl. Phys.* A43:223-226 (1987)
- 81. Nara, Y., Yamazaki, T., Sugii, T., Sugino, R., Ito, T., and Ishikawa, H., Photochemical Cleaning and Epitaxy of Si, SPIE 797:90-97 (1987)
- 32. Uphr, M., and Thiry, P. A., High Resolution Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopic Characterization of Insulators for Si Technology, *J. Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena* 54-55:1013-1032 (15 December 1990)
- 83. Yamazaki T., Sugino, R., Ito, T., and Ishikawa, H., Photochemical Effects for Low-Temperature Si Epitaxy, Extended Abstr. 18th (1986 International) Conf. Solid State Devices and Materials, pp. 213-216, Tokyo (1986)
- 84. Burger, W. R. and Reif, R., An Optimized *in situ* Argon Sputter Cleaning Process for Device Quality Low-Temperature ($T \le 800^{\circ}$ C) Expitaxial Silicon: Bipolar Transistor and *pn* Junction Characterization, *J. Appl. Phys.* 62(10):4255-4268 (15 November 1987)
- 85. Tabe, M., UV Ozone Cleaning of Silicon Substrates in Silicon Molecular Beam Epitaxy, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 45:1073-1075 (1984)
- 86. Thornton, M. C. and Williams, R. H., An S/XPS Study of Hydrogen Terminated, Ordered Silicon (100) and (111) Surfaces Prepared by Chemical Etching, *Physica Scripta* 41(6):1047-1052 (1990)
- 87. Sherman, A, In situ Removal of Native Oxide from Silicon Wafers, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B8(4):656-657 (July/August 1990)
- 88. Takakuwa, Y., Nogawa, M., Niwano, M., Katakura, H., Matsuyoshi, S., Ishida, H., Kato, H., and Miyamoto, N., Low-Temperature Cleaning of HF-Passivated Si(111)

Surface with VUV Light, Research Institute of Electrical Communication, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, pp. L1274-L1277 (1989)

- 89. Gonohe, N., Shimizu, S., Tamagawa, K., Hayashi, T., and Yamakawa, H., Low-Temperature Silicon Epitaxial Growth by Photochemical Vapor Deposition Using Vacuum Ultraviolet Light, *Japanese J. Appl. Phys.* 26(7):L1189-L1192 (July 1987)
- 90. Dushenkov, S. D., Valiev, K. A., and Velikov, I. V., Solid Surface Cleaning From Organic Substances by 124 nm Irradiation, Institute of Physics and Technology, USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow, USSR, to be published (1991)
- Rhee, S. S. and Wang, K. L., Ultraviolet Laser Assisted Silicon Molecular Beam Epitaxy, Proc. Sec. International Symp. on Silicon Molecular Beam Epitaxy, pp. 484-491 (1987)
- 92. Watson, G., Technology 1991: The Main Event, *IEEE Spectrum*, p. 30 (January 1991)
- 93. Gardner, W. L., Engelhard Millis Corp., Millis, MA, 02054, personal communication (November 1985)
- 94. Matthews, J. C., and Wilmott, J. I. Jr., Stabilization of Single Layer and Multilayer Resist Patterns to Aluminum Etching Environments, SPIE Conf., Optical Microlithography III, Santa Clara, CA, March 14-15, 1985; reprints available from Semiconductor Systems Corp., 7600 Standish Place, Rockville, MD 20855.
- 95. Heslot, F., Cazabat, A. M., and Levinson, P., Dynamics of Wetting of Tiny Drops: Ellipsometric Study of the Late Stages of Spreading, *The American Physical Soc.* 62(11):1286-1289 (13 March 1989)
- 96. Heslot, F., Cazabat, A. M., and Fraysse, N., Diffusion-Controlled Wetting Films, J. *Phys.: Condens. Matter* 1:5793-5798 (1989)
- 97. Heslot, F., Fraysse, N., and Cazabat, A. M., Molecular Layering in the Spreading of Wetting Liquid Drops, *Nature* 338:640-642 (20 April 1989)
- 98. Heslot, F., Cazabat, A. M., Levinson, P., and Fraysse, N., Experiments on Wetting on the Scale of Nanometers: Influence of the Surface Energy, *Physical Review Letters* 65(5):599-602 (30 July 1990)
- 99. Newcombe, G. and Ralston, J., Wetting Dynamics Studies on Silica Surfaces of Varied Hydrophobicity, *Langmuir* 8(1):190-196 (1992)

- 100. Silberzan, P. and Léger, L., Spreading of High Molecular Weight Polymer Melts on High-Energy Surfaces, *Macromolecules* 25(4):1267-1271 (1992)
- 101. Silberzan, P. and Léger, L., Étalement de Microgouttes de Polymères sur Surfaces Solides de Haute Énergie, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 312(II):1089-1094 (1991)

İ

- 102. Redon, C., Brochard-Wyart, F., Hervet, H., and Rondelez, F., Spreading of "Heavy" Droplets, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 149(2):580-591 (15 March 1992)
- 103. Van Velzen, P. N. T., Ponjeé, J. J., and Benninghoven, A., The Kinetics of a Surface-Chemical Reaction: A Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry Study, Appl. Surf. Sci. 37:147-159 (1989)
- 104. Coulon, G., Collin, B., Ausserre, D., Chatenay, D., and Russel, T. P., Islands and Holes on the Free Surface of Thin Diblock Copolymer Films. I. Characteristics of Formation and Growth, *J. Phys. France* 51:2801-2811 (1990)
- McIntyre, N. S., Davidson, R. D., Walzak, T. L., Williston, R., Westcott, M., and Pekarsky, A., Uses of Ultraviolet/Ozone for Hydrocarbon Removal: Applications to Surfaces of Complex Composition or Geometry, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 9(3):1355-1359, (May/June 1991)
- 106. Cho, J., Schneider, T. P., VanderWeide, J., Jeon, H., and Nemanich, R. J., Surface Electronic States of Low-temperature H-plasma Cleaned Si(100), *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 59(16):1995-1997 (14 October 1991)
- 107. Ajuria, S. A. and Reif, R., Early Stage Evolution Kinetics of the Polysilicon/Singlecrystal Silicon Interfacial Oxide upon Annealing, *J. Appl. Phys.* 69:662-667 (15 January 1991)
- 108. Katayama, K., Kirino, Y., Iba, K., and Shimura, F., Effect of Ultraviolet Light Irradiation on Noncontact Laser Microwave Lifetime Measurement, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 30(11B):L1907-L1910 (November 1991)
- 109. Sherman, A., Growth and Properties of LPCVD Titanium Nitride as a Diffusion Barrier for Silicon Device Technology, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 137(6):1892-1897 (June 1990)
- 110. McClintock, J. A., Wilson, R. A., and Byer, N. E., UV-Ozone Cleaning of GaAs for MBE, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 20:241-242 (February 1982)

- 111. Ingrey, S., Lau, W. M., and McIntyre, N. S., An X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study on Ozone Treated GaAs Surfaces, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* A4(3):984-988 (May/June 1986)
- 112. McClintock, J. A., Martin Marietta Laboratories, Baltimore, MD 21227, personal communication (1981)
- 113. Solomon, J. S., and Smith, S. R., UV-Ozone Cleaning of GaAs (100) Surfaces for Device Applications, *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 54:449-454 (1986)
- 114. Gray, M. L., Reynolds, C. L., and Parsey, J. M., Jr., Control of Sidegating Effects in AlGaAs/GaAs Heterostructure Field-Effect Transistors by Modification of GaAs Wafer Surfaces, J. Appl. Phys. 68(1):169-175 (1 July 1990)
- 115 Gray, M. L., Yoder, J. D., and Brotman, A. D., Sidegating Characteristics of AlGaAs/GaAs Heterostructure with Varied AlGaAs Spacer Layers, *J. Appl. Phys.* 69:830-835 (15 January 1991)
- 116. Gray, M. L., Yoder, J. D., Brotman, A. D., Chandra, A., and Parsey, J. M., Substrate-epitaxial Layer Interface Effects on AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructure Device Properties, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B9(4):1930-1933 (July/August 1991)
- 117. Yu, C. F., Schmidt, M. T., Podlesnik, D. V., Yang, E. S., and Osgood, R. M., Jr., Ultraviolet-Light-Enhanced Reaction of Oxygen with Gallium Arsenide Surfaces, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A6(3):754-756 (May/June 1988)
- 118. Pearton, S. J., Ren, F., Abernathy, C. R., Hobson, W. S., and Luftman, H. S., Use of Ultraviolet/Ozone Cleaning to Remove C and O from GaAs Prior to Metalorganic Molecular Beam Epitaxy and Metalorganic Chemical Vapor Deposition, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 58(13):1416-1418 (1 April 1990)
- 119. Kopf, R. F., Kinsella, A. P., and Ebert, C. W., A Study of the Use of Ultraviolet-Ozone Cleaning for Reduction of the Defect Density on Molecular Beam Epitaxy Grown GaAs Wafers, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B9(1):132-135 (January/February 1991)
- SpringThorpe, A.J., Ingrey, S. J., Emmerstorfer, B., Mandeville, P., and Moore, W. T., Measurement of GaAs Surface Oxide Desorption Temperatures, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 50(2):77-79 (12 January 1987)
- 121. Flinn, B. J. and McIntyre, N. S., Studies of the UV/Ozone Oxidation of GaAs using Angle-resolved X-ray Photoelectron Spectoscopy, *Surface and Interface Analysis*, 15:19-26 (1990)

- Lau, W. M., McIntyre, N. S., and Ingrey, S., Preparation of III-V Surfaces for Epitaxial Growth, in: Semiconductor-Based Heterostructures: Interfacial Structure and Stability, edited by M. L. Green, J. E. E. Baglin, C. Y. Chin, H. W. Deckman, W. Mayo, and D. Narasinham, The Metallurgical Society, Warrendale, PA, pp. 95-101 (1986)
- 123. Hollinger, G., Gallet, D., Gendry, M., Besland, M. P., and Joseph, J., Evidence for a New Passivating Indium Rich Phosphate Prepared by Ultraviolet/Ozone Oxidation of InP, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 59(13):1617-1619 (23 September 1991)
- 124. Hoekje, S. J., and Hoflund, G. B., Surface Characterization Study of InP(100) Substrates Using Ion-Scattering Spectroscopy, Auger Electron Spectroscopy and Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis, I:Comparison of Substrate-Cleaning Techniques, *Thin Solid Films* 197:367-380 (1991)
- 125. Ingrey, S., III-V Surface Processing, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A10(4):829-836 (July/August 1992)
- 126. Gallet, D., Gendry, M., Hollinger, G., Overs, A., Jacob, G., Boudart, B., Gauneau, M. L'Haridon, H., Lecrosnier, D., Protection of InP EPI-Ready Wafers by Controlled Oxide Growth, *Journal of Electronic Materials* 20(12):963-965 (1991)
- 127. Lau, W. M., Sodhi, R. N. S., Jin, S., and Ingrey, S., Changes in Surface Composition and Fermi Level Position During Thermal Desorption of Ultraviolet Radiation/Ozone Formed Oxides on GaAs, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 8(3):1899-1906 (May/June 1990)
- 128. Vig, J. R., and Hafner, E., Packaging Precision Quartz Crystal Resonators, Technical Report ECOM-4134, US Army Electronics Command, Ft. Monmouth, NJ, AD 763215 (July 1973)
- 129. Wilcox, P. D., Snow, G. S., Hafner, E., and Vig, J. R., New Ceramic Flatpack for Quartz Resonators, Proc. 29th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 202-210 (1975). See Ref. No. 4 for availability information.
- 130. Cuthrell, R. E. and Tipping, D. W., Surface Contaminant Detector, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 47:595-599 (1976)
- 131. Cuthrell, R. E., Description and Operation of Two Instruments for Continuously Detecting Airborne Contaminant Vapors, in: Surface Contamination: Its Genesis, Detection and Control, (Mittal, K. L., ed.), Vol. 2, pp. 831-841, Plenum Press, New Yo.κ (1979)

- Jellison, J. L., Effect of Surface Contamination on the Thermocompression Bondability of Gold, IEEE Trans. Parts, Hybrids, Packaging, PHP-11, pp. 206-211 (1975)
- Jellison, J. L., Effect of Surface Contamination on Solid Phase Welding An Overview, in: Surface Contamination: Its Genesis, Detection and Control, (Mittal, K. L., ed.), Vol. 2, pp. 899-923, Plenum Press, New York (1979)
- Weiner, J. A., Clatterbaugh, G. V., Charles, H. K. Jr., and Romensko, B. M., Gold Ball Bond Shear Strength, Proc. 33rd Electronic Components Conf., pp. 208-220 (1983)
- 135. Tramposch, R., Processing Thin Film Hybrids, *Circuits Manufacturing* 23:30-40 (March 1983)
- Wagner, J. A., Identification and Elimination of Organic Contaminants on the Surface of PLZT Ceramic Wafers, in: Surface Contamination: Its Genesis, Detection and Control, (K. L. Mittal, ed.), Vol. 2, pp. 769-783, Plenum Press, New York (1979)
- 137. Smith, H. I., Massachusetts Institute of Technology, unpublished class notes on Cleaning of Oxides, and personal communications (1982)
- 138. Stemniski, J. R. and King, R. L. Jr., Ultraviolet Cleaning: Alternative to Solvent Cleaning, Adhesives for Industry, pp. 212-228, Technology Conferences, El Segundo, CA (1980)
- 139. Almas, K. A., and Lund, B., Cleaning and Characterization of Stainless Steel Exposed to Milk, *Surface Technology* 23:29-39 (1984)
- 140. Pregger, B. A. and Kramer, J. J., Surface Crystallization of Amorphous Alloy Metglas 2826, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* 25(5):3333-3335 (September 1989)
- 141. Musket, R. G., Cleaning Surfaces of Sintered Beryllium Oxide, Appl. Surf. Sci. 37:55-62 (1989)
- 142. Musket, R. G. and Thomas, I. M., Proton Stitching of Layers of SiO₂ Particles to Fused Silica, *J. Appl. Phys.* 66(10):5115-5118 (15 November 1989)
- 143. Silberzan, P., Léger, L., Ausserré, D., and Benattar, J. J., Silanation of Silica Surfaces. A New Method of Constructing Pure or Mixed Monolayers, Langmuir 7(8):1647-1651 (1991)

- 144. McGarvey, C. E. and Holden, D. A., Chemistry in Adsorbed Monolayers. 2. Thermal and Photochemical Grafting Reactions at the Polymer-Filler Interface, Langmuir 6(6):1123-1132 (1990)
- 145. Benattar, J. J., Daillant, J., Bosio, L., and Léger, L., Physical Properties of Ultra Thin Films Studied by X-Ray Optical Techniques: Langumir-Blodgett Multilayer Structures, Organic Monolayers on Water and the Spreading of Polymer Micro-Droplets, *Colloque de Physique* C7(10):39-66 (October 1989)
- 146. Yang, M., Ganapathi, S. K., Balanson, R. D., and Talke, F. E., The Frictional Behavior of Thin Film Magnetic Disks, 5th Joint MMM-Intermag Conf., *IEEE Trans.* on Magnetics (June 1991)
- 147. DeKoven, B. M. and Meyers, G. F., Friction Studies in Ultrahigh Vacuum of Fe Surfaces with Thin Films from Exposure to Perfluorodiethylether, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A9(4):2570-2577 (July/August 1991)
- 148. DeKoven, B. M. and Mitchell, G. E., HREELS, XPS and in-situ Friction Studies of Thin Polyphenyl Ether Films on Steel Surfaces, *Applied Surface Science* 52:215-226 (1991)
- 149. Ondarçuhu, T. and Veyssié, M., Dynamics of Spreading of a Liquid Drop Across a Surface Chemical Discontinuity, *J. Phys. II* 1:75-85 (January 1991)
- Harada, T., Yamaguchi, S., Itou, M., Mitani, S., Maezawa, H., Mikuni, A., Okamoto, W., and Yamaoka, H., Ultraviolet/Ozone Cleaning of a Soft X-ray Grating Contaminated by Synchrotron Radiation, *Appl. Optics* 30(10):1165-1168 (1 April 1991)
- 151. Nakayama, Y., Tsukada, I., and Uchinokura, K., Superconductivity of Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{n1}Cu_nO_y (n=2, 3, 4, and 5) Thin Films Prepared *in situ* by Molecular-beam Epitaxy Technique, *J. Appl. Phys.* 70:4371-4377 (15 October 1991)
- 152. Lasky, E., UVOCS, Inc., Montgomeryville, PA, private communication, August 1992.
- Boussoukaya, M., Proprietes Microondes de Cavites Supracondutrices Soumises a L'Action D'Un Rayonnement Ultra-Violet et a des Molecules D'Ozone, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Phys. Re., North-Holland, Amsterdam, A245:13-19 (1986)
- 154. Baird, D. H., Surface Charge Stability on Fused Silica, Final Tech. Report, TR 76-807.1, AD A037463 (December 1976)

- 155. Janousek, B. K., and Carscallen, R. C., Photochemical Oxidation of (HgCd)Te: Passivation Process and Characteristics, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* pp. 195-198 (January/February 1985)
- 156. Graedel, T. E., Franey, J. P., and Kammlott, G. W., Ozone-and Photon-Enhanced Atmospheric Sulfidation of Copper, *Science* 224:599-601 (1984)
- 157. Clatterbaugh, G. V., Weiner, J. A., and Charles, H. K. Jr., Gold-Aluminum Intermetallics: Ball Shear Testing and Thin Film Reaction Couples, Proc. 34th Electronic Components Conf., pp. 21-30 (1984)
- 158. Altemose, V. O., Outgassing by Ultraviolet Radiation, Vacuum Physics and Technology, Weissler, G. L. and Carlson, R. W., eds., in: Vol. 14 of *Methods of Experimental Physics*, Academic Press, New York, Ch. 7, pp. 329-333 (1979)
- 159. Tagieva, M. M. and Kiseler, V. F., The Action of Radiation on the Surface Properties of Silica, *Russian J. Phys. Chem.* 35:680-681 (1961)
- 160. Hair, M. L., The Molecular Nature of Adsorption on Silica Surfaces, Proc. 27th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, AD 771042, pp. 73-78, (1973)
- White, M. L., Clean Surface Technology, Proc. 27th Ann. Symp. on Frequency Control, AD 771042, pp. 79-88, 1973; also, in: *The Detection and Control of* Organic Contaminants on Surfaces, Clean Surfaces: Their Preparation and Characterization for Interfacial Studies, (Goldfinger, G., ed.), pp. 361-373, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1970)
- 162. Lamb, R. N., and Furlong, D. N., Controlled Wettability of Quartz Surfaces, J. Chem. Soc., *Faraday Trans.* 1(78):61-73 (1982)
- 163. Caplan, P. J., Poindexter, E. H., and Morrisson, S. R., Ultraviolet Bleaching and Regeneration of Si-Si0₃ Centers at the Si/SiO Interface of Thinly Oxidized Silicon Wafers, *J. Appl. Phys.* 53:541-545 (1982)
- 164. Srinivasan, R., Conf. on Lasers and Electrooptics, as reported in: Clean Cuts for Notched Hairs, *Science News* 123:396 (June 18, 1983)
- 165. Srinivasan, R. and Braren, B., Ablative Photodecomposition of Polymer Films by Pulsed Far Ultraviolet (193 nm) Laser Radiation: Dependence of Etch Depth on Experimental Conditions, *J. Polymer Sci.: Polymer Chem. Ed.* 22:2601-2609 (1984)

- 166. Hoyle, C. E. and Anzures, E. T., Photodegradation of Polyimides.III.The Effect of Chemical Composition, Radiation Source, Atmosphere, and Processing, Office of Naval Research, AD-A236197 (May 1991)
- 167. Blum, S. E., Srinivasan, R., and Wynne, J. J., Far Ultraviolet Surgical and Dental Procedures, U.S. Pat. No. 4,784,135, issued November 15, 1988.
- 168. Alberts, G. S., Process for Etching Organic Coating Layers, U.S. Pat. No. 3,767,490, issued October 23, 1973.
- 169. Wright, A. N., Removal of Organic Polymeric Films from a Substrate, U.S. Pat. No. 3,664,899, issued May 23, 1972.
- 170. Robinson, L., The Development of Ozone Resistant Materials for Wire and Cable, IEEE Electrical Insulation Mag. 1:20-22 (1985)
- 171. Valiev, K. A., Velikov, L. V., Dushenkov, S. D., and Prokhorov, A. M., Developing of a Latent Image in Polymer Films by Photoetching in the Vacuum Ultraviolet, *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* 30(3):239-241 (March 1985)
- Valiev, K. A., Velikov, L. V., Dushenkov, S. D., Mitrofanov, A. V., and Prokhorov, A. M., Vacuum-UV Photoetching of Polymers, *Sov. Tech. Phys. Lett.* 8:15-16 (January 1982)
- 173. Valiev, K. A., Velikov, L. V., and Dushenkov, S. D., Photoetching of Polymer Films under the Action of Soft X-Rays, *Phys. Chem. Mech. Surfaces*, 4:1423-1435 (1986)
- 174. Ueno, N., et al., Mechanism of UV- and VUV-Induced Etching of Poly(methyl methacrylate) Evidence for Energy-Dependent Reaction, in: <u>Polymers in Microlithography-Materials and Processes</u>, Reichmanis, E., MacDonald, S. A., and Iwayanagi, T., eds, Chapter 26, pp. 425-436, American Chemical Society, ACS Symposium Series 412, (1989)
- 175. Ito, T., Sugino, R., Watanabe, S., Nara, Y., and Sato, Y., UV-Enhanced Dry Cleaning of Silicon Wafers, in: Proceedings of the First International Symp. on Cleaning Technol. in Semiconductor Device Manufacturing, <u>Semiconductor</u> <u>Cleaning Technology/1989</u>, Proceedings Volume 90-9, pp. 114-120, The Electrochemical Society, Inc., Pennington, NJ (1990)